

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume XVIII, Issue No. 5

November 2012

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

Needy Nation Breaks Down After First Full Week Without Being Pandered To By Politicians

UNITED STATES—In a desperate fit of emotional neediness, all 314 million residents of the United States broke down today after going a whole week without the nation's political parties, candidates, or interest groups pandering to them at every turn, sources reported.

In its seventh day of not being excessively flattered, vigorously applauded, or constantly validated by political campaigns, the American populace reportedly reached its emotional breaking point this morning, suffering full-scale meltdowns and not knowing how to carry on.

"Why aren't they incessantly trying to win us over every hour of every day? What did we do wrong?" said Pennsylvania retail clerk Patricia Beam, echoing the sentiments of millions of attention-starved citizens across the country. "Just last week they were wooing us with evasive political rhetoric and vague promises as if we were the only thing in the whole world that mattered to them. And now it's like we don't even exist."

"Pander to me now!" weeping Florida mechanic Ted Guzman concurred as he stamped his feet and begged for fawning adulation from politicians and party mouthpieces. "Now, now, now!"

According to widespread reports, hordes of blubbing, tear-streaked Americans have demanded that former presidential candidates Mitt Romney and Barack Obama immediately resume making local campaign appearances, acknowledging the importance of each and every town

and voter, and delivering stump speeches altered slightly to include specific mentions of regional factories, sports teams, historical landmarks, and agricultural products

Furthermore, the sobbing masses announced they were refusing to go to work until both Republicans and Democrats nationwide continued their efforts to appear in-touch with the needs of everyday Americans, and returned to running round-the-clock attack ads on local television that pay lip service to the social and economic challenges faced by their area

"All we're asking is that members of both major political parties look us straight in the eye the way they used to, telling us how much they need our faith and support to move forward, and how this country is nothing without the service and dedication of hardworking American citizens such as ourselves," Columbus, OH resident Ronnie Milch pleaded. "Promise things to us! Talk about things that we want! Spends millions of campaign dollars to make us feel important!"

"You can't just abandon us like this!" Milch added. "We need your constant, shallow reassurances that you hear us and are fighting for us!"

At press time, the emotionally exhausted populace had calmed down and fallen asleep after President Obama briefly mentioned the American people in an address on the impending fiscal cliff.

-- www.theonion.com Nov. 13, 2012

The Independent States of America

Although America carried President Obama confidently into a second term (with a total of 332 Electoral College votes to Romney's

206) - not everyone is jumping for joy at the prospect of four more years.

Tens of thousands have put their names to secession petitions in 20 states, asking that they peacefully become independent from the rest of the country.

The documents have been lodged on a government website We The People - and Texas has almost enough people behind its petition to warrant an official White House response.

Along with the Lone Star state, petitions were filed from Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, South Carolina and Tennessee. So keen are the folks in Georgia for a separation, that they filed their petition twice. Unsurprisingly, the majority of these states voted for Mitt Romney although petitions were also lodged in Democrat strongholds such as New York and Oregon.

Texas has gained the most so far with 23,000 people backing the wish to form an independent government.

The right to petition the U.S. government is guaranteed by the First Amendment of the Constitution.

If there is enough support - 25,000 signatures are needed - then it is sent to policy experts within the administration.

-- www.dailymail.co.uk 12 November 2012

WW I: Same Protests of Futility, Folly Heard Today

By H. Patricia Hynes

"I spent 33 years in the Marines, most of my time being a high-class muscle man for big business, for

Wall Street and the bankers." --
Brigadier General Smedley D. Butler

War profiteering in World War I was mammoth; and no one nailed the profiteers and racketeers so head-on as the straight-talking, bemedalled Brigadier General Smedley D. Butler. He described his Marine Corps-officer role in the early 20th century as a "bully boy for American corporations," leading invasions into China, Nicaragua, Cuba and Haiti on behalf of American banking, oil and sugar interests. Later, during the Great Depression and Dust Bowl era, he became a staunch advocate for homeless and unemployed WWI veterans who had not yet received promised bonuses from the federal government. When these hapless veterans marched on Washington, Generals Patton and MacArthur – of storied WWII fame – called out the US Army, which burned their "Hooverville" shanties and attacked them brutally. Two people were killed, one an infant; and hundreds of veterans were injured.

Smedley D. Butler's most notable work is a pamphlet-like small book, *War Is a Racket*, written from the clear-eyed vantage of post-military life, as war clouds were again gathering over Europe. With unsparing prose, he assailed the war industries for the blood on their hands – fomenting war abroad and securely pocketing its profits at home – as bracingly as any ardent pacifist. He cites the gunpowder giant DuPont, the fortunes of which increased nearly 10-fold during the World War I, and General Chemical Company, the wartime profits of which soared by 1,400 percent (Butler, pp. 28,29). Leather, bootmaking, garment and metals industries, as well as airplane, engine and ship builders – all the outfitters of armed conflict – enjoyed immense profits.

"Making the world safe for democracy," Woodrow Wilson's mantra, sold US entry into the war to a public that had re-elected him in 1916 to stay out of war. Butler described Wilson employing the same tactics used so thoroughly in Britain and Germany: shaming young men not yet in uniform, jailing pacifists, severe erosion of civil liberties, enlisting film stars and clergy to assure that "God is on our side" and producing xenophobic propaganda.

As Butler saw it, war is first and foremost about making the world safe for war profits. It is the oldest, most profitable racket, he declared – one in which billions of dollars are made for millions of lives destroyed. Of the estimated \$52 billion cost of World War I, industry war profiteers pocketed nearly one-third. More than 21,000 new

American millionaires and billionaires emerged from the human ashes of the war, while the federal government was mired in post-war debt – a debt paid for by working people's taxes (Butler, p. 31).

Butler's analysis maintains its sharp sting - only war-industry profits have grown, and with them, the national budget for militarism. Given the sacred cow status of the military entitlement program within the federal budget, a palpable difference between wartime and "peacetime" economies no longer exists. In 2011, 27 cents of every federal tax dollar went to military expenses while 2.5 cents, 1.9 cents and 1.2 cents, respectively, supported education, energy and environment, and international affairs. This calculation does not include the associated costs of war, such as veterans' benefits, reconstruction in Iraq and Afghanistan, assistance to allies, interest on war-related debt, impact of Iraq war on cost of oil, and so on. Further, one of the two primary drivers of the current federal budget deficit is the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, the other being the Bush tax cuts. Interest paid on the debt for the wars has reached \$185 billion and could reach \$1 trillion by 2020.

--www.truth-out.org 11 November 2012

Preppers are the new prophets

What's the value of having emergency food, fuel, a water filter, batteries and a fully loaded Remington shotgun in the hours after a superstorm? *Priceless*.

In the wake of hurricane Sandy, preppers suddenly seem like geniuses. While being ridiculed by the rest of the population for as long as we can all remember, preppers are the ones still standing in the aftermath of the storm.

They're the ones you *don't* see on the news, begging for help and panicking over the situation, because the preppers are sitting in their homes, eating their stored food, drinking their filtered water, double-checking their shotgun loads and staying off the streets. Preppers are the ones NOT looting, NOT complaining about the Red Cross, and NOT diving in dumpsters to find food while waiting around for the government to show up and save them.

Preppers are the new prophets. And those who failed to prepare are the new homeless.

-- Mike Adams, www.naturalnews.com
Nov. 02, 2012

Innocents Betrayed

This powerful documentary is a 'must see'. It runs almost one hour and shows how governments have historically deprived people of firearms ... and then wiped them from the face of the earth. It has been available at \$29.95 ppd for some time from the JPFO store.

We would like to announce a special offer on this outstanding DVD such that you can now purchase one copy for just \$19.95 or two copies for \$35.90, postage paid. Along with your order you will also receive bonus items - a copy of the **2A Today for the USA** DVD, our award-winning documentary, as well as two **Gran'pa Jack booklets** - #1 which is "*Gun Control! Kills Kids!*" and #7 - *Do Gun Prohibitionists Have a Mental Problem?* We'll also include our "Gun Control is NOT kosher" bumper sticker.

170 million -- that's the number of civilians that have been murdered by their own governments in the 20th century alone. 170,000,000 men, women, and children who were defenseless to protect themselves. 170,000,000 victims of gun control.

You have never seen anything like **Innocents Betrayed**. This story has never been told in a documentary film. 58 minutes that will open eyes. Destroy myths. Change minds. Save lives.

-- Oct. 16, 2012 offer from Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership, Box 270143, Hartford, WI 53027

Secretive Surveillance Program Goes to the Supreme Court

When the Supreme Court starts their second session of the year on Monday it could eventually shatter the government's ability to order wiretaps on the emails and phones of citizens without a warrant.

The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act was put into place in the 1970s to keep Americans safe from unlawful eavesdropping. Following the terrorist attacks of September 11, under the FISA Amendment Act (FAA), the National Security Agency has been allowed to monitor the communications of any US citizen if the government suspects that they are corresponding with anyone outside of the country.

The American Civil Liberties Union filed a legal brief warning, "*The government can target anyone — human rights researchers, academics, attorneys, political activists, journalists — simply because they are foreigners outside the United States, and in the course of its surveillance it can collect*

Americans' communications with those individuals."

The Justice Department has insisted that Americans can't challenge the eavesdropping provisions because no civilians can say with absolute certainty that they have been targeted by secret surveillance. It also asserts that national security prohibits them from disclosing who's been subjected to NSA spying. When senators asked the Office of the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community, "*how many people inside the United States have had their communications collected or reviewed under the authorities granted by section 702*" of the FAA, the NSA fired back by saying even responding to that inquiry would be against their rules.

A "review of the sort suggested would itself violate the privacy of US persons," Inspector General I. Charles McCullough wrote, adding that "dedicating sufficient additional resources would likely impede the NSA's mission."

The Supreme Court will hear oral arguments regarding *Clapper v. Amnesty International*. The Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit has already ruled in *Clapper* that the plaintiffs — attorneys, journalists and activists from human rights organizations — should be able to challenge the constitutionality of the FAA.

The Brennan Center for Justice out of the NYU Law School notes, "given the nature of their professional work," the Second Circuit ruled that the plaintiffs had "a reasonable fear that they were in fact subject to such surveillance and had to take costly steps to protect the confidentiality of their communications." That's enough, they say, to show that the plaintiffs "satisfied the required showing of a concrete injury resulting from the challenged amendments sufficient to establish standing to sue and reversed the contrary finding of the district court."

Now if the Supreme Court can come to the same conclusion, those plaintiffs — the ones who may or may not have ever been surveilled — can finally challenge the constitutionality of the FISA Amendment Act.

"Based on our combined six-plus decades of experience working at the NSA, we are sure there is only one just outcome," former NSA agents-turned-whistleblowers Bill Binney and J. Kirk Wiebe wrote in an op-ed published in *Politico* this week:

"The justices should let this case proceed, giving the courts the opportunity to determine whether the

executive and legislative branches have gone too far.

"The NSA cannot be trusted with this power. No agency should be."
-- Staff, RT News 27 October 2012

Muslims seek to criminalize criticism of Islam

On Feb. 20, 2010 President Obama announced that Rashad Hussain would serve as his "special envoy" to the Organization of Islamic Cooperation. Obama described him as a "hafiz of the Qu'ran", that is, someone who has memorized the Qu'ran in its entirety.

Hussain has been encouraging efforts to "bridge the differences" between the U.S. Constitution's guarantee of freedom of expression and the OIC's efforts to prohibit and criminalize worldwide expression that "defames" Islam.

Hussain pursues this "bridge-building" under the auspices of what has been dubbed "the Istanbul process." This diplomatic enterprise was begun in Istanbul in July 2011 and involves the OIC, the U.S. and the European Union in an effort to accommodate Islamist demands for restrictions on free speech about Muslim issues such as violence against women, religious persecution of Christians and expressions of genocidal hatred of Israel.

Secretary of State Hillary Clinton affirmed a problematic UN convention that declares, "Any advocacy of hatred that 'constitutes incitement to discrimination, hostility or violence shall be prohibited by law.'" While the use of "incitement" was believed by U.S. participants to be a successful way of finessing the OIC's effort to ban outright expression of ideas it deems offensive to Islam, the secretary general of the OIC, Ekmeleddin Ihsanoglu, declared that this agreement is not the "end of the road."

[Meanwhile over in the Justice Department] Attorney General Holder's assistant for Civil Rights, Thomas Perez, sat silently by at an event at George Washington University in Oct. 2011 as Islamist Sahar Aziz demanded "cutbacks in U.S. anti-terror training; limits on the power of terrorism investigators; changes in agent training manuals; and a legal declaration that criticism of Islam in the United States should be considered racial discrimination." Aziz went on to declare that "once American criticism of Islam was silenced, the effect would be to take [federal] money away from local police departments and fusion centers who are spying on all of us."

It is indisputable that under President Obama the U.S. has adopted policies increasingly aligned with the demands of the Muslim Brotherhood and other Islamist organizations, including, notably, the Organization for Islamic Cooperation.

The question that occurs - and that urgently requires thorough investigation - is this: have individuals and groups associated with an enterprise that has as its stated objective "destroying Western civilization from within" been able to dramatically advance this agenda by conducting influence operations "inside the wire" of the Obama administration? Have they helped "tilt" institutional views and policy toward the Muslim Brotherhood?

-- Excerpted from *The Muslim Brotherhood in the Obama Administration* by Frank Gaffney, founder and president of the Center for Security Policy

Islam – the Irrelevancy of the Peaceful Majority

We are told again and again by 'experts' and 'talking heads' that Islam is the religion of peace and that the vast majority of Muslims just want to live in peace. Although this unqualified assertion may be true, it is entirely irrelevant. It is meaningless fluff, meant to make us feel better, and meant to somehow diminish the spectre of fanatics rampaging across the globe in the name of Islam. The fact is that the fanatics rule Islam at this moment in history.

* It is the fanatics who march and wage any one of 50 shooting wars worldwide.

* It is the fanatics who systematically slaughter Christian or tribal groups throughout Africa and are gradually taking over the entire continent in an Islamic wave. It is the fanatics who bomb, behead, murder, or honour-kill.

* It is the fanatics who teach their young to kill and to become suicide bombers.

The hard, quantifiable fact is that the peaceful majority, the 'silent majority,' in every atrocious chapter of history has been cowed and extraneous.

Russia was composed of people who just wanted to live in peace, yet Communist fanatics were responsible for the murder of about 20 million people. The peaceful majority were irrelevant.

China was composed of people who just wanted to live in peace, yet

Communist fanatics managed to kill a staggering 70 million people.

The average Japanese prior to World War II was not a warmongering sadist. Yet Japan murdered and slaughtered its way across South East Asia in an orgy that included the systematic murder of 12 million Chinese; most killed by sword, shovel and bayonet.

And who can forget Rwanda, which collapsed into butchery. Could it not be said that the majority of Rwandans were 'peace loving'?

History lessons are often incredibly simple and blunt, yet for all our powers of reason, we often miss the most basic and uncomplicated of points:

The vocal minority always overwhelms the silent majority and, because of their silence, makes them irrelevant. Peace-loving Muslims have been made irrelevant by their silence. Peace-loving Muslims will become our enemy if they don't speak up because, like the Germans who found themselves dominated by the Nazi minority, they will awaken one day and find that the fanatics own them, and the end of their world will have begun. Peace-loving Russians, Chinese, Japanese, Rwandans, and many others have died because the peaceful majority did not speak up until it was too late. As for us who watch it all unfold, we must pay attention to the only group that counts – the fanatics who threaten our way of life.

-- Email, A German's View on Islam – The Peaceful Majority

People are driving less! Ban guns!

Josh Sugarmann, formerly of the National Coalition to Ban Handguns, in his latest Huffington Post screed, says that in DC, MD and VA combined, the total number of motor vehicle accident deaths has fallen below the aggregate of firearm suicides, murders, self-defense homicides and accidents. He says that because vehicle deaths are down, more gun control laws should be imposed.

Sugarmann says "The long-term decline in motor vehicle deaths is the result of a decades-long public health-based injury prevention strategy--centered on safety-related changes to vehicles and highway design informed by comprehensive data collection and analysis."

But there's a slight problem. After dropping from about 51,000 in 1981, to about 41,000 in 1992, the annual number of motor vehicle

accident deaths rose to about 45,000 from 2002 through 2006, dropped only slightly in 2007, fell to just under 40,000 in 2008 when the current recession began, and then dropped to 36,000 in 2009, and 35,000 in 2010, coinciding with the sharp rise in national unemployment. People who are stuck at home out of work aren't very likely to die in motor vehicle crashes, after all.

Furthermore, according to a recent Brookings Institution report, "Americans have simply been driving less, when considering both historic growth rates and the most recent annualized measures of vehicle miles traveled. At the same time driving has declined, transit use is at its highest level since the 1950s, and Amtrak ridership just set an annual ridership record in 2008." America continues to become more urbanized and, as the report notes, "Urban residents are more likely to use alternative modes of transportation than automobiles."

And there's more. The District of Columbia and Baltimore [which have some of the highest murder rates in the country] now have the fourth and sixth highest percentages respectively, among cities of 100,000 or more population, of households not owning cars.

So give it a rest, Josh, or you're going to drive yourself crazy one of these days. Pun intended.

-- NRA/ILA Oct. 12, 2012

Armed citizens take ownership of their community

O'BRIEN, Ore. (AP) There's no room in the county jail for burglars and thieves. And the sheriff's department in a vast, rural corner of southwest Oregon has been reduced by budget cuts to three deputies on patrol eight hours a day, five days a week.

But people in this traditionally self-reliant section of timber country aren't about to raise taxes to put more officers on the road. Instead, some folks in Josephine County, larger than the state of Rhode Island, are taking matters into their own hands, mounting flashing lights on their trucks and strapping pistols to their hips to guard communities themselves. Others have put together a virtual neighborhood watch, using Facebook to share tips and information.

Josephine County Sheriff Gil Gilbertson says he's glad for the help but warns that law enforcement is dangerous work.

"They need to really understand there are consequences that can be very costly, physically as well as legally," he said, explaining that volunteers could get sued or shot if they pull a gun on someone or make a false arrest.

Policing expert Dennis Kenney, a professor at John Jay College of Criminal Justice in New York City, says neighborhood watch efforts can be positive but turn into problems when volunteers "decide that instead of supplementing law enforcement, they are going to replace law enforcement. Then you cross potentially into vigilantism."

Kenney said vigilantes tend to get "out of control ~ especially when people are armed."

He added that "people drawn to this sort of thing are the kinds of personalities more likely to take it too far."

Sam Nichols, a retired marina manager, who has organized a posse of about a dozen fed-up residents who have started patrolling the small community of O'Brien, says what his group is doing is "not vigilantism at all."

"If it was, we would have taken care of a couple of problems a long time ago," he added. "Because we knew who they were, and where they lived."

-- AP, Oct. 17, 2012 "Armed posse patrols timberland in sheriff's place"

Alabama Bans U.N. Agenda 21 Sovereignty Surrender

Agenda 21, the U.N. plan for sustainable development that tosses property rights aside, is one of those compacts, like Law of the Sea, Kyoto and New START, that are supported by an apologetic administration with a fondness for the redistribution of American power and wealth on a local and global scale.

It fits in perfectly with President Obama's pledge to "fundamentally transform" America, its institutions and its heritage of capitalist freedom.

Agenda 21 has not been ratified by the U.S. Senate, but it may not have to be if the Environmental Protection Agency pursues it by stealth, as it has other environmental agendas that make war on the free enterprise system and rights we hold dear.

One of those is property rights. "Land ... cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and

In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. – Mark Twain

inefficiencies of the market," Agenda 21 says.

"Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice; if unchecked, it may become a major obstacle in the planning and implementation of development schemes."

Not liking the sound of that, Alabama recently passed Senate Bill 477 unanimously in both of its houses. The legislation bars the taking of private property in Alabama without due process and says that "Alabama and all political subdivisions may not adopt or implement policy recommendations that deliberately or inadvertently infringe or restrict private property rights without due process, as may be required by policy recommendations originating in or traceable to Agenda 21."

Agenda 21 is intended to foster what environmentalists call "sustainable development" in the belief that man since the Industrial Revolution has been a plague on the planet, plundering its resources while destroying nature and putting the world at risk of disastrous climate change, poverty and disease.

In March, EPA administrator Lisa Jackson jetted off to Paris' ministerial meeting of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, as the press release put it, "to discuss the agency's international efforts on urban sustainability."

Excuse us, but globalist "urban sustainability" is not what the EPA was created to do.

Jackson will represent the U.S. [this month] at the U.N. Conference on Sustainable Development in Rio de Janeiro.

"Specifically, in a transition to a green economy, public policies will need to be used strategically to reorient consumption, investments and other economic activities," a U.N. document describing the conference explains.

The EPA's war on coal, its regulating carbon dioxide as a pollutant and its regulatory abuses including the use of drones to spy on American farmers are key parts of this international agenda that Jackson says "is the rarest of opportunities to truly change the world. ... It means working together to strengthen the effectiveness of environmental governance."

We don't need "environmental governance," just a governance of, by and for the people of the United States.

Nor do we need to "reorient" our consumption and economic activities.

Alabama has just told the U.N. and the EPA what they need to be told — don't tread on us.

-- news.investors.com IBD
Editorials 06/07/2012

Nullification Victories!

Yesterday, Barack Obama won the presidential election. But, the people of six states voted to take their freedom without federal "permission."

Montana - "prohibits "the state or federal government from mandating the purchase of health insurance." It also prohibits the imposition of "penalties for decisions related to the purchase of health insurance coverage."

Colorado - allows the "personal use and regulation of marijuana" for adults 21 and over and permits its commercial cultivation, manufacture, and sale.

Alabama - Constitutional amendment to prohibit any person, employer, or health care provider from being compelled to participate in any health care system.

Washington - permits people to grow, produce, sell, buy and consume marijuana.

Wyoming - Constitutional amendment recognizing the right of each person to make his or her own health care decisions without the imposition of penalties or fines for doing so.

Massachusetts - eliminated penalties for the use of medical marijuana.

-- Tenth Amendment Center, Nov. 7, 2012

British Hospitals Make Millions Euthanizing Patients

Who says crime doesn't pay?

According to London's *Daily Telegraph*, British hospitals are euthanizing patients at ever-increasing rates — and raking in big bucks as a result.

Documents obtained by the newspaper under the Freedom of Information Act reveal that nearly two-thirds of National Health Service (NHS) trusts, regional authorities that administer hospitals, "have received millions of pounds for hitting targets related to" the use of the Liverpool Care Pathway (LCP), a controversial end-of-life care program

Developed by a Liverpool hospice to relieve the suffering of terminally ill cancer patients during their final days, the LCP is essentially a death sentence. A physician who considers a patient to be near death may put the patient on the pathway, after which the

patient will be heavily sedated and may have food and water withheld. On average, patients die within 33 hours of being put on the LCP.

Use of the LCP has expanded far beyond its creators' intentions. As *The New American* reported in June, 29 percent of all patients who die under NHS care are on the LCP, leading British neurologist Patrick Pullicino to declare that the LCP has become an "assisted death pathway rather than a care pathway."

A survey of 72 NHS trusts obtained by the *Telegraph* reveals that about 85 percent of trusts have adopted the LCP. Over six in 10 of those trusts have received at least \$20 million in the past two to three years for "hit[ting] targets associated with the pathway," though that number could end up being more than \$32 million by the time all payments are issued, the paper says.

If Americans do not want euthanasia to become the standard way of dying on this side of the Atlantic, they had better insist on repeal or nullification of ObamaCare.

-- Michael Tennant,
thenewamerican.com, 1 Nov. 2012

Obama and Biden Indicted by Grand Jury

(Ocala, Florida) - Larry Klayman, the founder and chairman of Freedom Watch today announced that President Barack Obama and Vice President Joseph Biden have been criminally indicted for having willfully released classified national security information concerning the raid on Osama bin Laden's compound, U.S. and Israeli war plans concerning Iran and their cyber-attack on Iran's nuclear facilities. The release of this information, among other harm to U.S. national security, resulted in the killing of members of Seal Team Six by terrorists and the arrest and imprisonment of American covert agents by Pakistan, such as the doctor who aided the CIA with regard to the bin Laden assassination.

A true bill of indictment was issued by a Citizens' Grand Jury in Ocala, Florida, who reviewed evidence and voted unanimously to indict Obama and Biden on October 29, 2012.

The authority for a Citizens' Grand Jury can be found at www.citizensgrandjury.com.

The criminal defendants, Obama and Biden, will now be given notice of their indictment, arraigned and then tried for their alleged crimes.

Mr. Klayman, the Citizens' Prosecutor, issued the following

statement: "The Citizens' Grand Jury, after having deliberated, yesterday issued a true bill of indictment. It did the work that the government should have done, but does not have the integrity to do; that is hold public officials accountable under the law. For far too long, government prosecutors, who are put in place by politicians, have looked the other way as high public officials like Obama and Biden violate the law to further their political agendas. Now, as a result, the people must therefore exercise the rights given to them by the framers of the Constitution, and themselves take legitimate measures to restore the nation to some semblance of legality. This indictment is just the first step in a legal revolution to reclaim the nation from establishment politicians, government officials and judges who have represented only their own political and other interests at the expense of 'We the People.' Obama and Biden will now be tried in a court of law and I am confident that they will be convicted of these alleged crimes."

For information see www.citizensgrandjury.com or contact Adrienne Mazzone: 561-750-9800 x210; amazzone@transmediagroup.com
-- www.citizensgrandjury.com 121030

What you can do for yourself, right how

By Kirby Ferris

Moral conscience is in dwindling supply in this nation. A growing proportion of our citizenry behave like hyenas, jackals and vultures. Our nation has been invaded by twenty million illegal aliens.

Only a fool can't see that we are headed for a potential showdown.

You need to evaluate what you can independently do for yourself. And I mean for just yourself. You'll be of no help to anyone unless you've got your own base well covered first.

You need to acquire the tools, and achieve the skill to defend against one, several, or even a dozen other human beings.

Are you going to be a survivor, or a Darwin Award Candidate, too stupid to even provide for your own survival?

[The first tool you will need is a shotgun.] A reliable pump shotgun is the gun to own if you could own only one gun, for home defense or in the event of social turmoil. 00 buckshot skipped across the pavement and into the shins of a mob can be a very significant behavior modification device.

A Mossberg 500 pump (holding up to eight rounds) is less than \$300. A box of five 00 buckshot is \$4.50 and a 25 round box of standard shot is \$4.99.

For less than \$400 you can be seriously prepared. Practice with the bird shot and only try out the buckshot to get a feel for any difference in recoil. .

The second firearm you need is a "compact" 9mm pistol. Sure, we'd all like a custom big bore .45, but this article lays heavily on BUDGET. Take a look at the Kel Tec pf9. A friend of mine has put six hundred rounds through his Kel Tec pf9 with no jams.

A Kel Tec will cost you about \$300. That leaves you a hundred bucks for ammo. 9mm full metal jacket "ball" ammo is about ten bucks per fifty box. Hollow point defensive rounds will cost you about a buck apiece in a box of twenty. You'll have 420 rounds of 9mm.

After you have fired a 100 rounds of ball ammo through the pistol, load the magazine with seven hollow points and shoot them as fast as you can pull the trigger. If you get even one jam look for another brand of defense round. This is important. You must trust your defense round!

The Kel Tec is very LIGHT and fits in your pants pocket easily. The small seven round magazines are convenient to stash in various other pockets.

Now it's time to fill in the third leg of your defense "triad" - the rifle and ample ammo. But under \$400? In the larger calibers? It can't be done.

There is only one solid answer: The Ruger 10/22 .22 caliber semi-automatic rifle with scope and high capacity magazines.

THE GUN: \$180 on sale. THE SCOPE: \$50 on sale for one that will ALSO fit on a higher caliber rifle should need be. MAGAZINES (after market): \$50 for two Ruger factory made BX-25 25 round magazines for the 10/22.

Reviews are exceptionally positive. You've gotta have good ammo magazines! (The rifle comes stock with a ten-rounder). AMMUNITION: \$15 (on sale) for 525 rounds of bulk loose packed .22 long rifle. Also buy a couple of hundred rounds (in 50 round boxes) of the "only for emergencies" pricey stuff if you want.

If you practice correctly you will be pleasantly surprised at how darn good you can get at hitting pretty small targets at fairly significant ranges (75 to 100 yards).

A pal of mine did Nam. Marine. Very smart. He declared to me that in urban or suburban civil unrest shooting situations you will almost certainly not be reaching out more than 100 yards. When he was confronted with the rule that one had to pack the gun and ammo from place to place on one's back, he said he'd take his tricked out Ruger 10/22, along with 1,000 rounds.

Stop! I don't want to hear it! Our Jane and Joe that I'm writing this for does not have the bucks for a \$4,000 AR-10 .308 with a three thousand dollar Swarovski scope!

So that's our "Big Three" firearms compilation for the person who has the intelligence to be wisely prepared for the social upheaval that seems inevitable in our future.

I cannot emphasize how important it is to move NOW. At least get the shotgun and its ammo immediately. The first major crisis will empty the gun shops and sporting goods stores of both guns and ammunition. I guarantee this.

A final note: Every family member over the age of ten should be able to competently shoot each of these firearms. This is simply not "Leave it to Beaver" America anymore. Time to get real, friends.

-- Abridged from three Kirby Ferris articles from JPFO 2012

* * *
...right?

I feel safer in "gun-free" zones because criminals aren't allowed to use guns in them.

That's the way it works...right?
-- www.someecards.com

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650

ISSN 1523-4657

www.njmilitia.org

info@njmilitia.org

walnor@keepandbeararms.com

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833

Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241

Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 783-2375

Wake County, NC, Dave (919) 521-4147

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00

Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____